

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,170	12/20/2000	Emil M. Georgiev	08CY05920	9300	
75	90 03/22/2002				
Kenneth S. Wheelock			EXAMINER		
GE Plastics One Plastics Av			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
Pittsfield, MA	01201		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1711		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she	et beneath the co	orrespondence ac	idress—	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MAII	ING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory mult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30) from the mailing dat	days will be considere	ed timely. on .	
Status /	/00/00				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	120/00				
☐ This action is FINAL.	·				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>			the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s)	is/are	pending in the app	lication.		
Of the above claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)		is/are			
Claim(s)		are su	bject to restriction	or election	
		require			
Application Papers	dae Bardon, BTO 049				
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	• .	ed 🗆 disapprove	.d		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	,				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> </ul>	of the priority document	ts have been			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Null ☐ received in this national stage application from the I					
*Certified copies not received:			•		
Attachment(a)					
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	r No(s)	☐ Interview Sumi	mary, PTO-413		
Attachment(s)  ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ Notice of Information	mary, PTO-413 mal Patent Applica		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a composition of two components, classified in class
     525, subclass various.
  - II. Claim 3, drawn to a composition of three components, classified in class 525, subclass 66.
  - III. Claims 4 and 5, drawn to a composition of three components, classified in class524, subclass various.
  - IV. Claims 6 and 7, drawn to a composition of four components, classified in class524, subclass various
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I with each of II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding or coating composition in and of itself without the presence of additional ingredients which would react in-situ to form mutually exclusive final products and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that

Art Unit: 1711

the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions III and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a coating or molding composition in and of itself without the presence of additional ingredients which would react in-situ to form a mutually exclusive final product and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various materials defining component (a). The election of an ultimate species definitive of

Art Unit: 1711

component (a) is requested. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8183.

Ana L. Woodward Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

AW March 21, 2002